ports; sluggishness in demand for readymade garments and agricultural items like rice; procedural problems on account of withdrawal of excise exemptions for SBI units; and overall high rate of inflation of over 10 % causing an appreciation of the real exchange rate of rupee thereby adversely affecting export performance. Exports exhibit seasonality and this too has contributed to the modest rats of growth.

(c) Export promotion is a continuous process and steps are taken to boost exports in consultation with trade, industry and export promotion organisations. The cooperation of the States is being sought increasingly and the Government has formulated a new scheme called Export. Promotion Industrial Parks (EPIP) Schema for this purpose.

Tariff cut on industrial trade liniler GATT

37. MISS SARO|J KHAPARDE: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that among all the member countries of GATT, India has offered the higest tariff cut of 15 per cent on covered industrial trade under the new World Trade Treaty signed at Marrakesh on April 15, 1994; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons for offering this high tariff cut?

THE MINISTER OF COMMERCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) and (b) India has bound its tariffs on industrial raw materials, intermediates and capital goods at the level of 25 per cent and 40 per cent. This is to be achieved in six equated annual instalments beginning 1995. The base tariffs for reductions are the tariffs as on 1.1.90. Since then our tariffs have been lowered substantially. Hence we may not be required to undertake any significant tariff reductions during initial years of the implementation of the Uruguay Round results.

The target agreed to at the midterm review in Montreal was to cutcariffs by one-third (a 33 per cent reduction). Generally speaking, both developed and developing countries have offered tariff bindings exceeding this target. Acceding to a GATT Secretariat analysis, our tariff offers on industrial goods entail a weighted average reduction of about 55 per cent.

The figures Of 15 per cent has been cited in a press release based upon a US Treasury Department study. The basis of this assessment has not been made clear in the release.

Wages of workers of Jute Mill's

38. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that workers employed in jute mills of the country are not paid their wages as per the tripartite agreement;

(b) whether it is also a fact that many employers have deprived their employees of their statutory dues like provident fund, gratuity bonus etc.;

(c) whether Government have enquired into these lapses and if so, details of those found guilty and action taken against them; and

(d) if not, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OP STATE OP THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) to (d) The information is toeing collected and will *be* laid on the Table of the House.

Non-implementation of Government's Notification

39. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN; Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a)whether a representation dated 3.5.94 from Binny Beach Engineering Works, Madras regarding non-icoplernientation of Government's Notification enhancing the rate of Employers contribution, by the Binny Limited:, Engineering Division, has been received by Government;

Cb) if so, what we the reasons far non-implementing government's notification; and

(c) whether Government have issued any directions for implementation of the said notification and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) to (c) A representation dated 23.4.94 about delay in implementation of the Government's notiftcafion enhancing the rate of, PF contrihution has been received from the Binny-Beach Engineering Workers' Union. At present the enhanced notified rate of PF contribution is not applicable to the sick establishments. M|s Binny Beach has claimed to be sick and, therefore, disputed the applicability of the enhancedd rate of PF contribution. Necessary action to resolve this dispute has been initiated under Section 7-A of the EPF & MP Act, 1952. As the proceedings under Section 7-A are of judicial nature, the question of the Government issuing a direction in this regard does not arise.

Employment Assurance Scheme

40 SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:

Will the Minister, of LABOUR be pleased to state;

(a) the basic objectives of the Employement Assurance Scheme launched on 2nd October 1993;

(b) the number of Mandays emp loyment that has been generated under the Employment Assurance Scheme;

(c) the number of persons who have been registered and deployed under the Scheme in different States and Union Territories; and

(d) the total amount of expenditure incurred on the Scheme and concrete achievement made so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) The basic objective of the Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS) which started with effect from 2.10.1993 is to provide assured employment of 100 days in unskilled manual work during the lean agricultural season to the rural poor who are in need of employment and seeking it.

(h) According to the reports received from the States|UTp.. under Employment Assurance Scheme 491.68 lakh mandays were generated during 1993-94. During 1994-95 (up to the end of May, 1994) 171.19 lakh man-days have been generated under EAS.

(c) A total of 69.88 lakh persons have been registered in different States|UTs under EAS, The number of persons actually deployed on EAS works is, however not being moni tored at the Central level, as it varies even on a day-to-day basis.

(d) During 1993-94, an expenditure
ofi Rs. 18293.60 lakhs was incurred under EAS. During 1994-95 (upto the end of May, 1994), Rs. 7815.23 lakhs have been spent on E.A.S.

ILO rapping India for failing *to* check bonded labour

41. SHRI G. Y. KRISHNAN: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether the International Labour Organisation in its Committee monitoring compliance with the labour laws rapped India for failing to check bonded labour;

(b) if so, Government's reaction thereto; and